United States District CourtEASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

v.	ORDER OF DETENTION FENDING IRLAL
Eduard Florea	Case Number: 21 MJ-35
In accordance with the Bail Reform a require the detention of the defendant pending t	Act. 18 U.S.C. §3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts rial in this case.
(State or local offense that would hat that is a crime of violence as defined in an offense for which the maximum an offense for which a maximum a felony that was committed after 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), offense described in finding (1) woffense. (3) A period of not more than five years for the offense described in finding	um sentence is life imprisonment or death. In term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in In the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offense described in In the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offense described in In the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offense described in In the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offense described in In the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local In the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local In the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local In the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local In the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local In the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local In the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local In the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local In the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local In the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local In the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local In the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal p
(1) There is probable cause to believe to for which a maximum term of under 18 U.S.C. §924(c). (2) The defendant has not rebutted the p	Alternative Findings (A) hat the defendant has committed an offense f imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § resumption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions nce of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.
(1) There is a serious risk that the defer	Alternative Findings (B) ndant will not appear.
(2) There is a serious risk that the defer	ndant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
I find that the credible testimony and info convincing evidence that no conditions will read defendant lacks substantial ties to the condition defendant is not a U.S. citizen and an indefendant has no stable history of emporal defendant presented no credible sureties but leave is granted to reopen and processing the defendant presented to reopen and processing defendant processi	llegal alien. loyment. es to assure his appearance.
	Part III - Directions Regarding Detention y of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant

shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for

Dated: January 13, 2021

Brooklyn, New York

the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE